

# Automotive Requirements for the Infrastructure to Vehicle Information (IVI) Service

CAR 2 CAR Communication Consortium



**CAR 2 CAR**  
COMMUNICATION CONSORTIUM

## About the C2C-CC

Enhancing road safety and traffic efficiency by means of Cooperative Intelligent Transport Systems and Services (C-ITS) is the dedicated goal of the CAR 2 CAR Communication Consortium. The industrial driven, non-commercial association was founded in 2002 by vehicle manufacturers affiliated with the idea of cooperative road traffic based on Vehicle-to-Vehicle Communications (V2V) and supported by Vehicle-to-Infrastructure Communications (V2I). The Consortium members represent worldwide major vehicle manufactures, equipment suppliers and research organisations.

Over the years, the CAR 2 CAR Communication Consortium has evolved to be one of the key players in preparing the initial deployment of C-ITS in Europe and the subsequent innovation phases. CAR 2 CAR members focus on wireless V2V communication applications based on ITS-G5 and concentrate all efforts on creating standards to ensure the interoperability of cooperative systems, spanning all vehicle classes across borders and brands. As a key contributor, the CAR 2 CAR Communication Consortium and its members work in close cooperation with the European and international standardisation organisations.

## Disclaimer

The present document has been developed within the CAR 2 CAR Communication Consortium and might be further elaborated within the CAR 2 CAR Communication Consortium. The CAR 2 CAR Communication Consortium and its members accept no liability for any use of this document and other documents from the CAR 2 CAR Communication Consortium for implementation. CAR 2 CAR Communication Consortium documents should be obtained directly from the CAR 2 CAR Communication Consortium.

Copyright Notification: No part may be reproduced except as authorized by written permission. The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media. © 2024, CAR 2 CAR Communication Consortium.

# Document information

<b>Number:</b>	2080	<b>Version:</b>	n.a.	<b>Date:</b>	2024-12-13
<b>Title:</b>	Automotive Requirements for the Infrastructure to Vehicle Information (IVI) Service			<b>Document Type:</b>	RS
<b>Release</b>	1.6.7				
<b>Release Status:</b>	Public				
<b>Status:</b>	Final				

Table 1: Document information

## Changes since last release

Release	Date	Changes	Edited by	Approved
1.6.7	2024-12-13	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No changes</li> </ul>	Release Management	Steering Committee
1.6.6	2024-07-12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Changed RS_ARI_101 as it had been a duplicate of RS_ARI_102 before</li> </ul>	Release Management	Steering Committee
1.6.5	2023-12-15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clarification in several requirements</li> <li>Detailing of RCC requirements</li> <li>Zones covering the full carriageway</li> </ul>	Release Management	Steering Committee
1.6.4	2023-07-21	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No changes</li> </ul>	Release Management	Steering Committee
1.6.3	2022-12-16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Phrasing corrections (e.g., replacing 'carriageway' in many occurrences)</li> <li>Integration of preliminary requirements to full requirements</li> <li>New requirement on laneWidth in RCC</li> </ul>	Release Management	Steering Committee
1.6.2	2022-07-22	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Several requirements changed in context of alignment with C-Roads</li> <li>A list of changed, added or removed requirements can be found in clause 5 of [C2CCC RelOv]</li> </ul>	Release Management	Steering Committee
1.6.1	2021-12-17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Inclusion of the RCC, further detailing of road and zone representation</li> <li>Introduction of a new clause for preliminary content</li> <li>Minor improvements</li> </ul>	Release Management	Steering Committee
1.6.0	2021-07-23	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Detailing of iviStatus handling</li> <li>Several improvements of phrasings and figures</li> <li>Editorial corrections</li> <li>Renaming of document from:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Automotive Requirements for IVIM</li> </ul>               to:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Automotive Requirements for the Infrastructure to Vehicle Information (IVI) Service</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Release Management	Steering Committee
1.5.3	2021-03-12	No changes	Release Management	Steering Committee

1.5.2	2020-12-16	Initial release	Release Management	Steering Committee
-------	------------	-----------------	--------------------	--------------------

Table 2: Changes since last release

# Table of contents

- 1 Introduction .....8
- 2 Scope .....8
- 3 Conventions used .....9
- 4 Definitions.....9
- 5 Parameter settings..... 11
- 6 General understanding of the IVIM ..... 13
  - 6.1 Purpose of the In-Vehicle Signage use cases ..... 13
  - 6.2 Purpose of the different containers in IVIM ..... 13
    - 6.2.1 Management Container ..... 14
    - 6.2.2 Geographic Location Container..... 14
    - 6.2.3 General IVI Container ..... 14
    - 6.2.4 Road Configuration Container ..... 15
    - 6.2.5 Implementation options for zone and lane models..... 15
    - 6.2.6 Lane status ..... 16
  - 6.3 Concatenating zones for complex traffic measures in the future..... 17
- 7 Requirement specifications ..... 18
  - 7.1 Transmission ..... 18
  - 7.2 IviStructure..... 19
  - 7.3 ManagementContainer ..... 24
  - 7.4 Geographic Location Container..... 28
    - 7.4.1 Geographic Location Container Part ..... 33
  - 7.5 MAP Location Container..... 39
  - 7.6 General IVI Container Part..... 39
  - 7.7 Road Configuration Container Part..... 46

---

8	Annex.....	47
8.1	IVIM mandatory and optional data elements.....	48

# List of figures

Figure 1: Simplified and shortened representation of IVIM..... 14

Figure 2: 'lane closed' (RSCode 13-659) can't be provided in IVIM..... 16

Figure 3: 'lane closed' can be provided in IVIM ..... 16

Figure 4: Exemplary implementation for a speed limit cascade with 3 separate IviStructures ..... 20

Figure 5: Exemplary, more efficient implementation for the same speed limit cascade with only one IviStructure..... 21

Figure 6: noHardshoulder.png; Subtext for the figure: 'RCC information on a highway without hard shoulder' ..... 23

Figure 7: withHardshoulder.png; Subtext for the figure: 'RCC information on a highway with hard shoulder' ..... 23

Figure 8: withoutHardshoulder.png; Subtext for the figure: 'Faulty RCC implementation on a road with hard shoulder' ..... 24

Figure 9: State machine for iviStatus..... 26

Figure 10: Examples of correct placements of the reference position in zones that cover all regular driving lanes..... 29

Figure 11: Examples of faulty placements of the reference position in case of zones covering all regular driving lanes. The reference position is located in an area where there is no zone definition available in the iviStructure. .... 29

Figure 12: Example of a correct placement of the reference position for zones that cover only a subset of lanes. Even if the centre of all regular driving lanes is not part of the zone, the reference position shall still be laterally located there. .... 30

Figure 13: Two examples of faulty placements of the reference position for zones that cover only a subset of lanes. The reference position is not centred among the regular driving lanes. Keep in mind, that zones should cover the entire carriageway, RS\_ARI\_98..... 30

Figure 14: Example of a case where an additional GLC is needed..... 32

Figure 15: Example, the service provider wants to inform all concerned vehicles about the low speed limit and therefore also provides detection zones on the ramps ..... 33

Figure 16: 'Distortion' of zones when including the referencePosition..... 35

Figure 17: Placement of the referencePosition and definition of the first deltaPosition ..... 36

Figure 18: Example, detectionZones leading up to the beginning of the relevanceZone or to another suitable entry point to the relevanceZone ..... 40

Figure 19: Example, detectionZone on the first ramp leading up to a suitable entry point to another detectionZone ..... 40

Figure 20: Example: overtaking ban applicable in a distance - e.g., due to a situation on the road ..... 42

Figure 21: Example of a correct implementation of relevanceZone ..... 43

Figure 22: Possible receiver interpretation in case of a faulty implementation ..... 44

## List of tables

Table 1: Document information .....2

Table 2: Changes since last release.....4

Table 3: Parameter settings RS\_ARI\_22..... 11

## 1 Introduction

### Other (informational)

**RS\_ARI\_1**

This document is part of the documentation within the Work Item F0020 'Automotive Requirements for IVIM'. It is the main working document containing identified requirements to the IVIM from an automotive perspective.

It shall serve as an extension to already existing requirements on IVIM in the C-Roads profiles and specifications.

## 2 Scope

### Other (informational)

**RS\_ARI\_2**

The present document provides requirements related to the features of a C-ITS station transmitting IVIM to enable interoperability. The requirements in this document are intended as an addition to existing requirements in [ISO 19321], [TS 103 301] and the C-Roads profile.

In this document only, highway use cases were considered, use cases on other road types or in urban areas may need different profiling. Apart from that, the requirements in this document are independent of the specific use case and shall therefore apply to all highway use cases of the In-Vehicle-Signage Service.

Furthermore, the requirements are focused on the functional level, specifications on the lower communication levels are out of scope of this document. Also, for the functional level, these requirements don't claim to be complete.

The requirements in this document for now only apply to road traffic signs and signages that are physically represented on the road (through analogue or digital displays). For the future consideration of signs, which are not physically present (i.e. only virtual), the requirements will need to be reconsidered and adapted where needed. In addition, all requirements only refer to signs as listed in [ISO 14823], i.e. signs which are mounted on a pole or digital display. This excludes the use of subpanels that are not explicitly listed in [ISO 14823], such as subpanels with text in a local language. This explicitly excludes any kind of lane marking.

In some cases, requirements are written in a way which let the implementation open, for example if they refer to very specific implementations which may depend on specific national regulations. Those requirements have to be further detailed by anybody implementing that requirement. Beside these special requirements all other requirements can be further detailed, too.

At the time of the latest release of this document, the ISO standard on IVIM was still under revision. Part of this revision is the addition of a data field 'segmentExtended' which allows among others to indicate (asymmetric) segment widths and to 'concatenate' zones. There already exist intentions to adapt corresponding requirements to this new concept as soon as the new standard revision is published.



### 3 Conventions used

**Other (informational)** (RS\_BSP\_152) **RS\_ARI\_3**

Conventions used in this and other C2C-CC specification documents can be found in [C2CCC ConV].

### 4 Definitions

**Definition** (RS\_BSP\_193) **RS\_ARI\_9**

'C-ITS time' or 'time base' means the number of elapsed International Atomic Time (TAI) milliseconds since 2004-01-01 00:00:00.000 Coordinated Universal Time (UTC)+0 as defined in [EN 302 636-4-1]. Timestamps as defined in [TS 102 894-2] follow this time format.

**Definition** **RS\_ARI\_10**

The 'station clock' means a clock representing Cooperative Intelligent Transport Systems (C-ITS) time in a C-ITS station (see RS\_RSP\_006).

**Definition** (RS\_BSP\_429) **RS\_ARI\_11**

Information provided with a 'confidence level' of 95 % means that the true value is inside the confidence interval or the confidence area for at least 95 % of the data points in a given statistical base.

**Definition** (RS\_BSP\_500) **RS\_ARI\_12**

A 'confidence interval' is specified by the estimated value plus/minus the confidence value.

**Definition** **RS\_ARI\_13**

An 'instant' denotes a point on the time axis, often also referred as a 'moment in time' (see also IEC 60050).

**Definition** **RS\_ARI\_15**

The 'relevance area' (or *relevance zone*) is the area on the road for which the signage information is applicable. Each separate signage information is associated a specific relevance zone. The concept of an IVI relevance zone is the equivalent of an eventHistory used for DENMs.

**Definition** **RS\_ARI\_16**

The 'awareness area' (or *detection zone*) is the area where drivers have to be informed about upcoming relevant signage information. The concept of an IVI detection zone is the equivalent of a DENM trace.

**Definition****RS\_ARI\_89**

*'Regular driving lanes'* refer to all lanes that are an integral part of a highway / motorway segment and which do not assume an implicit, more specific role (such as entry or exit ramps, hard shoulders or emergency lanes).

This corresponds to all lanes of lane numbers 1..13 according to the ETSI numbering scheme for DE\_LanePosition [TS 102 894].

**Definition****RS\_ARI\_99**

*'All lanes'* in the context of this document refer to all regular driving lanes and all lanes that may be provisionally or temporarily opened or closed for driving, such as the hard shoulder, extra or emergency lanes. This includes the driveable lanes as defined in [ISO/TS 19321] and in addition also temporarily closed lanes.

## 5 Parameter settings

Table 3: Parameter settings RS\_ARI\_22

Parameter	Value	Unit	Description	Min. Value	Max. Value	Source Document
<i>pRepetitionInterval</i>	500	ms	Interval for the IVI repetition service	--	--	--
<i>pIdUniquenessRadius</i>	25	km	Radius around the originating station within which the tuple serviceProviderID-IvidentificationNumber shall be unique	--	--	--
<i>pIdReuseBlockingTime</i>	24	H	Minimum blocking time before a previously used IvidentificationNumber may be reused by a service provider			
<i>pRepetitionDuration</i>	5	Min	Duration over which a message shall be repeated	--	--	--
<i>pLongitudinalOffsetSignPosition</i>	3	m	Maximum longitudinal offset to the actual position of the physical sign	--	--	--
<i>pNodeOffset</i>	1	m	Maximum offset between two nodes describing the same geographical position	--	--	--
<i>pMaxNumberOfNodesPerZone</i>	100	--	Maximum number of deltaPositions per segment / zone	--	--	--
<i>pMinDetectionZoneLength</i>	800	m	Minimum length of a detection zone for highway use cases	--	--	--
<i>pMaxDetectionZoneLength</i>	2000	m	Maximum length of a detection zone for highway use cases	--	--	--
<i>pLateralNodeOffset</i>	half of the actual lane width of the described road section	--	Intended lateral offset to the centre of the set of all regular driving lanes for the referencePosition	--	--	--
<i>pLateralNodeOffsetAbsolute</i>	3	m	Maximum lateral offset to the centre of the set of all regular driving lanes represented by the zone for the deltaPositions in polygonalLine and the referencePosition	--	--	--

Parameter	Value	Unit	Description	Min. Value	Max. Value	Source Document
<i>pLateralNodeOffsetAbsoluteAD</i>	1	m	Maximum lateral offset to the center of the set of all regular driving lanes represented by the zone for the deltaPositions in polygonalLine and the referencePosition if automated driving shall be supported	--	--	--
<i>pLaneAngleDeviation</i>	5	°	Maximum angle between the connection of the node points and the corresponding tangent to the lane centre	--	--	--
<i>pLaneWidthAccuracy</i>	0,3	m	Accuracy required for a lane's width	--	--	--
<i>pMaxPerpendDistLaneCentre</i>	10	m	Maximum perpendicular distance between the linear connection of two consecutive lane nodes and the actual centre of the lane	--	--	--

## 6 General understanding of the IVIM

### 6.1 Purpose of the In-Vehicle Signage use cases

The purpose of the In-Vehicle Signage (IVS) is to enable the receiving vehicle to know at any time and condition all the relevant signage information, based on time and location, but also based on characteristics and type of the vehicle. Receivers can filter sign information based on time, geographical and other relevance criteria (e.g. to only show information relevant ahead to the driver).

### 6.2 Purpose of the different containers in IVIM

[ISO/TS 19321] allows several data elements, such as the lane width, to be defined in different containers. To avoid any ambiguity in the interpretation of this information, a clear separation of concerns for each of the containers is profiled. The geographic layout of zones is separated in two containers:

- The Geographic Location Container (GLC) provides the longitudinal model of zones along the carriageway.
- The Road Configuration Container (RCC) provides the lateral model of these zones, and defines all driving lanes and lane widths to construct bounding boxes of each zone and each lane.

The purpose of the RCC is to provide a complete geographic zone model for lane matching of in vehicle information.

The geographic zone model supports complex traffic measures with a sequence of speed measures and lane restrictions for complex road works for example. The traffic measures may be updated over time, while the zone model remains unchanged in message updates. Hence the geographic model may also include zones and lanes for which no relevant information is provided in a specific message.

The General IVI Container (GIC) adds information for a subset of lanes in the zones referenced from the GLC.

Note that backwards compatibility implies that the lateral zone model may be incomplete or undefined. The RCC may be absent. The GLC may define a zone for a single lane with a lane width. However, it remains undefined whether the GIC alone (without RCC) defines all lanes on a road segment, and a lateral model should not be reverse engineered from the applicable lanes provided in the GLC.




Figure 1: Simplified and shortened representation of IVIM

### 6.2.1 Management Container

The Management container is mandatory and provides the receiving vehicles with information necessary to handle the entire IVI message, unambiguously identify it (ServiceProviderId, iviidentificationNumber) as well as to decide on its further processing and determine the status and time validity of its content (e.g. iviStatus, timestamp, validFrom, validTo, etc.)

### 6.2.2 Geographic Location Container

The Geographic Location Container (Glc) describes essential information for receiving vehicles to understand where and how the information provided in the IVI Application Container applies.

It is formed by a part which is common to all the parts of the Application Container plus a sequence of GlcParts that can be specific to the distinct parts of the application container. GlcParts are used to represent detection and relevance zones (following the definitions provided in [C2CCC Glos]).

The GlcParts describe zones generically and don't assign a specific use to them (e.g. as detection or relevance zones). This way zones described in the GLC can be used in different roles by other containers and one zone can act as a detection zone for one information and relevance zone for another.

According to specifications, at least two zones should be contained in each IVI message to provide one detection zone and one relevance zone. Each GlcPart is described, among others, by a zoneld (unambiguously identifying the zone), and a Zone (defining the geographical-shape of the zone)

### 6.2.3 General IVI Container

The General IVI Container (Gic) provides the signage information to be processed by vehicles. It is a sequence of GicParts, each defining a given piece of signage information. This information refers to Glc information for its spatial relevance. For this, each GicPart contains, among others,

detectionZoneID and relevanceZoneID lists indicating respectively the detection and relevance zones that apply to this GicPart. Moreover, each GicPart contains the iviType (e.g. regulatory info or other kind of info), optionally the vehicleCharacteristics (i.e. for which kind of vehicles the info applies) and the specific signage information to communicate (e.g. road sign identifiers roadSignCodes or text messages extraText, etc.).

#### 6.2.4 Road Configuration Container

The Road Configuration Container (RCC) provides information regarding the topology of a certain road section. This information can be used by receivers to get a better understanding of the road (and zone) topology. The RCC also refers to the zones described in the GLC and provides additional information e.g., on the road type and the lane setup (number of lanes, lane types, status ...).

#### 6.2.5 Implementation options for zone and lane models

Requirements RS\_ARI\_60 and RS\_ARI\_96 result in the several implementation options for road operators, for example:

- 1) If the road operator doesn't have information on the number of lanes, only the GLC is provided in the IviStructure, and the RCC is not provided. The zone(s) implicitly cover(s) all driveable lanes. The GIC only contains signs that apply to all drivable lanes. The component 'applicableLanes' is not used. Especially with the new ISA regulation in mind, this information is still valuable.
- 2) If the road operator knows the total number of lanes, they need to provide the RCC describing all lanes within the zones as described in RS\_ARI\_60. Under this condition the road operator may use the 'applicableLanes' in GIC and hence provide lane-specific signage.
- 3) If the road operator in addition knows the individual lane's width, they need to provide the laneWidth in RCC (acc. To RS\_ARI\_96). Thus, they further support lane matching and localization on lane level for receivers.

In other words, if the RCC cannot be provided then the road operator should not provide lane specific information in the GIC.

For a better understanding consider the following example:

- In both figures below, the hard shoulder is closed for driving.
- In Figure 2, no RCC is provided, the GLC implicitly only covers the two driveable lanes. Hence, the sign with 'lane closed' (RSCCode 13-659) can't be provided in IVIM for the hard shoulder.
- In Figure 3, the RCC is provided, also covering the hard shoulder. In this case, the sign 'lane closed' can be provided in IVIM.




Figure 2: 'lane closed' (RSCode 13-659) can't be provided in IVIM




Figure 3: 'lane closed' can be provided in IVIM

### 6.2.6 Lane status

The physical lane status is defined in the RCC (RS\_ARI\_101) and the legal lane status is defined in the GicPart (RS\_ARI\_102).

Example for the physical lane status:

- Long-term closure of a lane by some kind of physical barrier, like cones or lane separators. Long-term means at least for the lifetime of an IviStructure until its cancellation.

Example for the legal lane status:

- Closure of a lane by a traffic sign (e.g. 'lane closed', RsCode 13-659)

The requirements define the explicit separation of the purpose of the two lane status fields available in IVIM.

It should be further clarified, if the legal lane status should be set in addition in case of a physically closed lane. If both values are set in parallel, they have to be consistent at any time.



### 6.3 Concatenating zones for complex traffic measures in the future

This profile is based on the 2020 version of [ISO/TS 19321] in which each zone segment in a GLC is defined as a polygonal line that starts from the same GLC reference position. Consequently, the sequence of zones is limited by the maximum delta position, and multiple GLCs are needed to cover complex traffic measures in a single IVI structure (see RS\_ARI\_90 and RS\_ARI\_95).

In the next revision of [ISO/TS 19321] a new component 'SegmentExtended' is introduced to concatenate zones.

Concatenation here means that the polygonal line of a zone can start from the end point of the previous zone instead of the GLC reference position. Concatenation unambiguously defines the geographic sequence of consecutive zones along the carriageway, and allows a much larger range of zones within a single GLC. The SegmentExtended can also be defined as an asymmetrical zone, with an additional hard shoulder or emergency lane for example, and preserving the smooth and continuous road centre line through the zones.

SegmentExtended is considered as the preferred option to use in the future to concatenate in-vehicle information in complex traffic measures in the future. This may affect a.o. RS\_ARI\_30, RS\_ARI\_90, RS\_ARI\_95, RS\_ARI\_40, RS\_ARI\_61, RS\_ARI\_75, RS\_ARI\_76, RS\_ARI\_42, RS\_ARI\_68.

## 7 Requirement specifications

### 7.1 Transmission

#### Other (informational)

**RS\_ARI\_14**

The following requirements on IVIM apply in addition to the relevant standards ([TS 103 301], [ISO/TS 19321]) and the C-Roads documents [C-ITS Message Profile].

Details:

Tested by:

---

#### Requirement

**RS\_ARI\_67**

IVIM shall be repeated with a repetition interval of *pRepetitionInterval*.

Details:

Tested by:

---

#### Other (informational)

**RS\_ARI\_38**

Signs which indicate the end of a specific or all regulations / restrictions should not be transmitted explicitly as individual signs in an IviStructure. The meaning of these signs is implicitly given through the ending of the relevance zone of corresponding signs.

If transmitted, all requirements given in this document shall apply.

Note: It is recommended not to transmit the aforementioned signs separately. One reason being that the relevance zone of such signs could stretch along several kilometres.

Details:

Tested by:

---

#### Other (informational)

**RS\_ARI\_92**

In case of IVIM delegation (when one service provider's station signs and transmits IVIMs as 'delegate' for another service provider), the transmitting station needs to be fitted with separate certificates containing the required ITS-AID/SSP tuple for the service and the delegating serviceProviderId. Adding multiple such tuples (e.g., for multiple serviceProviderIds) to one certificate is not possible according to clause 6.4.8 of [IEEE 1609.2].

Details:

Tested by:

---

## 7.2 IviStructure

### Requirement

**RS\_ARI\_70**

If the iviStructure corresponds to a physical sign / gantry, it shall provide the legal statement as displayed by the static sign or gantry.

Note 1: This implies that the IviStructure doesn't need to exactly represent what is depicted on the gantry/sign but needs to provide all information required to represent the regulation as indicated by the gantry/sign.

Note 2: In order to support use cases where there is no physical sign, a corresponding suitable requirement may be defined in the future.

Details:

Tested by:

---

### Requirement

**RS\_ARI\_17**

An IviStructure having an iviStatus other than 'cancellation' shall contain at least one instance of GeographicLocationContainer.

Note: If the iviStatus is 'cancellation' then any GLC can be ignored by the receiver.

Details:

Tested by:

---

### Requirement

**RS\_ARI\_18**

An IviStructure having an iviStatus other than 'cancellation' shall contain at least one instance of GeneralIviContainer.

Note: If the iviStatus is 'cancellation' then all in vehicle information is cancelled and any GIC can be ignored by the receiver.

Details:

Tested by:

---

### Requirement

**RS\_ARI\_19**

The definition of all zones referred to within the IVI Application Containers (e.g. GIC) shall be included in the same iviStructure as the respective Application Container.

Note: This implies that each iviStructure is self-contained.

Note: This implies that an individual Application Container (e.g. one gicPart) may refer to zones that are defined in different GLCs as long as they are all included in the same iviStructure.

Details:

Tested by:

**Other (informational)**

**RS\_ARI\_90**

Service providers should make an effort to reduce the number of different, individual IVI messages transmitted in parallel as far as possible. Rather the mechanisms provided in the IVIM e.g. to reuse zones defined in GLC for different purposes in the GICs should be utilized. For a better understanding see the two figures below.




Figure 4: Exemplary implementation for a speed limit cascade with 3 separate IviStructures




Figure 5: Exemplary, more efficient implementation for the same speed limit cascade with only one IviStructure

Details:

Tested by:

**Requirement**

**RS\_ARI\_20**

The IviStructure should not contain any instances of LayoutContainer and TextContainer.

Note: If present, these containers may be ignored by receivers. The containers AutomatedVehicleContainer and RoadSurfaceContainer are currently not considered and may therefore also be ignored by receivers.

Details:

Tested by:

**Requirement**

**RS\_ARI\_21**

If in vehicle information shall or need to be transmitted in separate IVIMs, the following prioritization shall be applied (number one having the highest priority):

- 1) Information applying to the same lane should be contained in a single message.

- 2) Information applying to the same direction of travel should be contained in a single message.
- 3) Information applying to the same local area should be contained in a single message.

Details:

Tested by:

---

**Requirement****RS\_ARI\_25**

If there are multiple physical signs showing the same information applicable to the same road segment (e.g. one in a distance, one directly at the location of danger), only one IviStructure and GicPart shall be transmitted for all signs.

Details:

Tested by:

---

**Requirement****RS\_ARI\_52**

At every point in time every combination of RsCode, relevanceZonelds and applicableLanes contained in an IviStructure shall be unique within that IviStructure.

Note: This means, that the combination of RsCode, relevance zone and lane IDs shall not be duplicated in an IviStructure at any given point in time.

Details:

Tested by:

---

**Requirement****RS\_ARI\_53**

All in-vehicle information to be conveyed via IVIM should be transmitted in as few separate IviStructures as possible.

Details:

Tested by:

---

**Requirement****RS\_ARI\_60**

If an IviStructure contains lane-specific signage (i.e., applicableLanes in GicPart is present, acc. To RS\_ARI\_86), then it shall contain one or more RCC(s) describing the road configuration of all lanes of the carriageway along the longitudinal extension of zones that are defined in the GLCs.

Details:

Tested by:

---

**Requirement**

**RS\_ARI\_100**

If the IviStructure contains one or more RCC(s), the RCC(s) shall provide the configuration of all lanes of the carriageway along the applicable zones. This includes (temporarily) closed lanes and hard shoulders, see RS\_ARI\_99.

Note: See the figures below for a better understanding. In Figure 6 and Figure 7, the RCC implementation correctly reflects all lanes of the carriageway. Figure 8 represents a faulty implementation where the hard shoulder is omitted. This results in a road configuration in the IVIM that can't be distinguished from the situation in Figure 6. Therefore, this is not allowed.

Note: This is also in line with requirement RS\_ARI\_98.




Figure 6: noHardshoulder.png; Subtext for the figure: 'RCC information on a highway without hard shoulder'




Figure 7: withHardshoulder.png; Subtext for the figure: 'RCC information on a highway with hard shoulder'




Figure 8: withoutHardshoulder.png; Subtext for the figure: 'Faulty RCC implementation on a road with hard shoulder'

Details:

Tested by:

### 7.3 ManagementContainer

#### Requirement

RS\_ARI\_58

The tuple of ServiceProviderID and IviIdentificationNumber shall be unique at every given point in time within a radius of at least *pldUniquenessRadius* around the transmitting C-ITS station.

Details:

Tested by:

#### Other (informational)

RS\_ARI\_94

Inference of combined requirements RS\_ARI\_55, RS\_ARI\_58, RS\_ARI\_65 for the management of IviIdentificationNumbers:

- RS\_ARI\_58 asserts the uniqueness of an IviIdentificationNumber within a certain radius at any point in time;
- RS\_ARI\_65 asserts that IviStructures are repeated as long as the validity period indicated through 'validTo' has not passed and
- RS\_ARI\_55 asserts that cancellations are repeated for a certain time period.

This means that adherence to all aforementioned requirements in combination asserts that IviIdentificationNumbers are truly locally unique for the receiving vehicle at any given point in time for valid IviStructures. For cancelled IviStructures, the cancellation repetition together with the message's timestamp acts as a buffer before the IviIdentificationNumber may be reused for a new IviStructure.



Details:

Tested by:

---

**Requirement****RS\_ARI\_56**

The timestamp shall be present and set to the time of information generation by the service provider (as defined in [TS 103 301]).

Note: This also holds, if the iviStatus is already set to 'update' or 'cancellation'. When a new content change occurs, timeStamp shall be set to the point in time of the generation of the new information.

Details:

Tested by:

---

**Requirement****RS\_ARI\_62**

The component validFrom shall be present in an IviStructure if the contained information is not yet applicable at the point in time when the message is transmitted.

Details:

Tested by:

---

**Requirement****RS\_ARI\_63**

The component validFrom shall be omitted in an IviStructure if the contained information is applicable at the point in time when the message is transmitted.

Details:

Tested by:

---

**Requirement****RS\_ARI\_71**

The component validTo may only be used to indicate the end of the validity period of the information contained in the IviStructure if it is ensured that validTo coincides with or is later than the actual end of the validity period.

Note: This means, that validTo shall not be earlier than the actual end of the validity period of the information. This prevents, that vehicles travelling in the relevance zone wrongly cancel the information to the driver when validTo times out only because an update of the validTo is not received.

Note: Example of a scenario that could benefit from using validTo: Speed limit for purposes of noise reduction over night, e.g. 10 p.m. to 6 a.m. In this scenario the validity period is deterministic and the end can be conveyed via validTo, thus lifting the need for a separate cancellation message.

Note: An example of a scenario where a different usage than specified here can lead to critical situations is when the component validTo is set to a time only some minutes in the future and is updated every time before timing out. In such cases vehicles, that have passed the gantry and are already out of the RSU coverage, but yet in the relevance zone, would disable the received IVI road signs upon reaching the validTo time, even if the RSU has updated the validTo and still transmits the road signs.

Details:

Tested by:

**Requirement**

**RS\_ARI\_37**

If in vehicle information shall or need to be transmitted in separate IVIMs following RS\_ARI\_21 due to message size restrictions, the data element connectedIviStructures shall be present and used to connect at least all messages applying to the same traffic direction.

Details:

Tested by:

**Other (informational)**

**RS\_ARI\_64**

For better understanding of the following requirements, Figure 9 provides a state machine for the usage of iviStatus including references to the relevant documents and requirements for the respective state transitions.




Figure 9: State machine for iviStatus

Details:

Tested by:

**Requirement**

**RS\_ARI\_65**

An IviStructure with status ‘new’ or ‘update’ shall be repeated as long as all information contained remains unchanged or the time value represented by validTo hasn’t yet passed in time.

Details:

Tested by:

**Requirement**

**RS\_ARI\_66**

Whenever any signage information changes (meaning any change in the GICs, TCs or AVCs present in an IviStructure), the IviStructure shall be transmitted with iviStatus ‘update’.

Note: For any changes in the geographic information see requirement RS\_ARI\_81.

Details:

Tested by:

**Requirement**

**RS\_ARI\_81**

Whenever any geographic information changes (meaning any change in the GLCs, MLCs or RCCs present in an IviStructure), the IviStructure shall be transmitted with iviStatus ‘cancellation’ and a new IviStructure with iviStatus ‘new’ containing the updated geographic information shall be transmitted.

Note: For any changes in the signage information see requirement RS\_ARI\_66.

Details:

Tested by:

**Requirement**

**RS\_ARI\_54**

Whenever all the information given in an IviStructure is not valid any more (i.e. the gantry is switched off and the information isn’t shown any more), the IviStructure shall be transmitted with iviStatus ‘cancellation’.

Details:

Tested by:

**Requirement**

**RS\_ARI\_55**

An IviStructure with status ‘cancellation’ shall be repeated for *pRepetitionDuration* starting from the point in time of the first transmission of the cancellation IVIM.

Details:

Tested by:

---

**Requirement****RS\_ARI\_57**

An IviStructure with status 'cancellation' shall consist of the ManagementContainer only.

Details:

Tested by:

---

**Requirement****RS\_ARI\_82**

No IviStructure shall be transmitted, if no signage information is available for longer than *pRepetitionDuration* (e.g. in case the gantry/gantries are dark).

Details:

Tested by:

---

**Other (informational)****RS\_ARI\_83**

In case of any failure or error in the R-ITS-S, no IviStructure shall be transmitted.

Details:

Tested by:

---

**7.4 Geographic Location Container****Requirement****RS\_ARI\_30**

The referencePosition in GLC shall be centred laterally among the regular driving lanes. Longitudinally it shall be located somewhere along the longitudinal extension of the zones defined in the same GLC (i.e., between the start and end of the zones defined in the same GLC).

Note: In cases of complex traffic measures comprising multiple signs/gantries in close vicinity, this allows for using only one (or few) GLC(s) to represent all required zones. In case of more simple traffic measures, it is desirable to provide a reference position for each sign/gantry, located at the position of the respective sign/gantry, as shown in Figure 10.

Note: This implies that not every zone needs to start with a referencePosition.

Note: This requirement is useful to receivers since they may use the referencePosition as a first means for a relevance check (e.g., matching their own path with the referencePosition) it thus is

intended to ensure more future-proof specifications. Hence, the referencePosition shall be located within the area described in the IviStructure.

Note: If zones describe only individual lanes and not the entire width of a road section, the referencePosition shall still be laterally centred on the regular driving lanes. This supports vehicles in the correct matching of the IviStructure's content and its own path. The lateral offset in the first deltaPosition of each zone then explains the zone's position relative to the centre of the regular driving lanes.

Note: By introducing this requirement the computational complexity in each vehicle can be reduced (simplification of understanding the location of the information). The generating system only needs one additional calculation (if at all).

Note: At the time of the definition of the GLC, the 'role' of a zone (detection, relevance, or awareness) is not known, hence the referencePosition can be placed alongside/within any zone independently of its role in the IviStructure.




Figure 10: Examples of correct placements of the reference position in zones that cover all regular driving lanes.




Figure 11: Examples of faulty placements of the reference position in case of zones covering all regular driving lanes. The reference position is located in an area where there is no zone definition available in the IviStructure.




Figure 12: Example of a correct placement of the reference position for zones that cover only a subset of lanes. Even if the centre of all regular driving lanes is not part of the zone, the reference position shall still be laterally located there.




Figure 13: Two examples of faulty placements of the reference position for zones that cover only a subset of lanes. The reference position is not centred among the regular driving lanes. Keep in mind, that zones should cover the entire carriageway, RS\_ARI\_98.

Details:

Tested by:

---

**Requirement**

**RS\_ARI\_29**

The accuracy of the referencePosition in GLC shall be within a maximum lateral offset to the true centre of the regular driving lanes. The maximum lateral offset should be less than *pLateralNodeOffset*. The maximum lateral offset shall be below *pLateralNodeOffsetAbsolute*.

Details:

Tested by:

---

**Requirement**

**RS\_ARI\_93**

---

For geographically static signage information, the referencePositionTime, referencePositionHeading or referencePositionSpeed shall not be included in the GLCs of the iviStructure.

Details:

Tested by:

---

## Requirement

RS\_ARI\_95

The definition of all zones referred to within the Application Containers (e.g. GIC) should be included in as few GLCs as possible. An additional GLC should only be included in an iviStructure if zones that are required for the iviStructure can't be defined within the value range constraints of DF\_DeltaPositions with the referencePosition given in the first GLC(s).

Note: The objective is to optimize the message, both in size and interpretation effort. Thus, the idea is to define as many zones as possible in a single GLC, and also to define the geographical dimensions of a zone only once.

Note: The intention of this requirement is to reduce the amount of data and also evaluation complexity on receiver side. Having multiple GLCs each containing only single/few glcParts is to be avoided.

Note: E.g., in the design of the GLCs and zones for an iviStructure this requirement can be fulfilled by placing the referencePosition approximately at the longitudinal centre of a traffic measure comprising multiple gantries. Thus, a maximum of zones can be defined with only a single GLC (and a single referencePosition).

Note: In the scenario shown in Figure 14, the 'upper' referencePosition is placed such that the first note of the 'upper' zone is just so covered by the value range of DE\_deltaPosition (~1.11 km). But the distance between this referencePosition and the beginning of the zone on the lowest end of the figure is greater than what can be covered by DF\_deltaPosition. Hence, an additional GLC is provided.




Figure 14: Example of a case where an additional GLC is needed.

Details:

Tested by:

**Other (informational)**

**RS\_ARI\_91**

If the signage intention of the service provider is to inform vehicles on multiple stretches of road (diverging, converging), then all those stretches shall be represented by corresponding zones in GLC, referenced in GIC as either detection or relevance zone depending on the situation.

Note: This applies in addition to adequate RSU position and geonetworking settings ensuring reception on such stretches of road where the infrastructure wants the vehicle to consider the information.

Note: The decision if, when and how to make use of certain information is still up to the OEM.

Example: If the signage intention of the service provider is to inform vehicles already 2 km ahead, no matter which road / entry ramp they are travelling on, also all concerned entry ramps should be represented by corresponding zones, see the figure below for a better understanding.






Figure 15: Example, the service provider wants to inform all concerned vehicles about the low speed limit and therefore also provides detection zones on the ramps

Details:

Tested by:

### 7.4.1 Geographic Location Container Part

#### Requirement

RS\_ARI\_31

The zoneld in GlcPart shall be unique throughout the entire IviStructure (i.e. this also applies, if multiple GLCs are used within one IviStructure).

Note: Uniqueness is only required for the triple serviceProviderID + iviIdentificationNumber + zoneID. Hence, for signage information spread over multiple messages, zoneIDs may be reused.

Details:

Tested by:

#### Requirement

RS\_ARI\_97

The geographic location of a zone shall be defined only once in a GLC and shall not be duplicated in any other GLCs of the IviStructure.

Details:

Tested by:

---

**Requirement**

**RS\_ARI\_98**

One zone should cover all lanes of the carriageway in one direction (including (temporarily) closed hard shoulders).

Details:

Tested by:

---

**Requirement**

(RS\_ARSM\_31) **RS\_ARI\_45**

To describe a zone, the component segment shall be used with the polygonal line centred laterally among the set of all regular driving lanes of that zone.

Note: With the information on the total number of lanes (RS\_ARI\_60) and the zone segment width (if provided), the receiver then can create the box describing the zone.

Details:

Tested by:

---

**Requirement**

**RS\_ARI\_72**

The number of deltaPositions per segment shall be limited to *pMaxNumberOfNodesPerZone*.

Details:

Tested by:

---

**Requirement**

**RS\_ARI\_40**

In all instances of `IVI.IviStructure.optional.glc.parts.zone.segment.line` in an `IviStructure`, only either the component `deltaPositions` or the component `deltaPositionsWithAltitude` shall be used.

Details:

Tested by:

---

**Requirement**

**RS\_ARI\_61**

The first `deltaPosition` contained in `PolygonalLine` shall refer to the reference position given in the corresponding GLC.

Note: See RS\_ARI\_76 for a better understanding.

Details:

Tested by:

**Requirement**

**RS\_ARI\_75**

The referencePosition shall not be part of the zone itself. This means that the first deltaPosition in a zone shall describe the first node of the respective zone. If a zone shall begin at the referencePosition, the first deltaPosition shall be set to (0, 0). See RS\_ARI\_74 and RS\_ARI\_76 for further information.

Note: This requirement is in compliance with [ISO/TS 19321], where a note explains that the referencePosition of the GLC is not part of the polygonal line (confirmed in the document version of 2020).

Note: Not including the reference position to the zones by default becomes even more important when zones are not directly attached to the referencePosition (e.g. when considering ramps).

Details:

Tested by:

**Other (informational)**

**RS\_ARI\_76**

The graphic below shows the problematic implications when including the referencePosition in the zone description. For individual zones per lane the inclusion of the reference position would ‘distort’ the zone causing possible problems for interpretation on vehicle side, therefore the first deltaPosition is considered to be the very first node of the zone.




Figure 16: ‘Distortion’ of zones when including the referencePosition

Details:

Tested by:

**Other (informational)**

**RS\_ARI\_74**

Requirements RS\_ARI\_29, RS\_ARI\_30, RS\_ARI\_61 and RS\_ARI\_75 specify polygonal lines in a very generic way in order for them to be applicable to all possible scenarios and settings. The graphic below shows the implications of these requirements on the affected data elements in IVIM.




Figure 17: Placement of the referencePosition and definition of the first deltaPosition

Details:

Tested by:

**Requirement**

**RS\_ARI\_42**

The delta positions in PolygonalLine shall be sorted starting from the zone’s extremity that is closest to the reference position to the extremity that is farthest from the reference position, along the course of the road.

Note: In case of identical distance of both extremities to the reference position, the designer may choose the most suitable extremity to start with.

Note: That way, zones are always sorted in direction of traffic or against the direction of traffic. See RS\_ARI\_77 for a better understanding.

Details:

Tested by:

**Other (informational)**

**RS\_ARI\_77**

Requirement RS\_ARI\_42 is phrased in a very generic way. This is necessary for cases where the referencePosition isn't located at the borders between the zones but actually in the middle of a zone (see RS\_ARI\_30 for the placement of the referencePosition).

Details:

Tested by:

**Requirement**

(RS\_ARSM\_32) **RS\_ARI\_46**

The absolute lateral offset of node points to the centre of the set of all regular driving lanes represented by the zone shall be less than  $pLateralNodeOffsetAbsolute$ .

Details:

Tested by:

**Requirement**

(RS\_ARSM\_94) **RS\_ARI\_47**

Let  $\vec{a}$  be the vector representing the linear connection of two delta positions, and  $\vec{p}$  be the vector representing the shortest distance of vector  $\vec{a}$  to the center of the set of all regular driving lanes represented by the zone (that is,  $\vec{p}$  is perpendicular to the tangent of the center line of the set of all regular driving lanes represented by the zone at the foot of the dropped perpendicular).


Then for  $|\vec{p}| > 0$  it shall always hold that

$$\cos^{-1} \left( 1 - \frac{\vec{a} * \vec{p}}{|\vec{a}| * |\vec{p}|} \right) \leq pLaneAngleDeviation.$$

For  $|\vec{p}| = 0$  (i.e.  $\vec{a}$  crosses the centre of the set of all regular driving lanes represented by the zone) the angle  $\alpha$  between  $\vec{a}$  and the tangent to the centre of the set of all regular driving lanes represented by the zone at the intersection point with the lane centre shall be less than  $pLaneAngleDeviation$ .

Note: In less formal wording this means that the angle between the linear connection of two node points and the corresponding tangent to the centre of the set of all regular driving lanes represented by the zone shall not be greater than  $pLaneAngleDeviation$ .

Note: See drawings below for a better understanding (exemplary for a polygonalLine describing the centre of the set of all regular driving lanes represented by the zone):



Details:

Tested by:

**Requirement**

(RS\_ARSM\_34) **RS\_ARI\_48**

The perpendicular distance between the linear connection of two delta positions and the centre of the set of all regular driving lanes chosen for the zone shall be less than *pMaxPerpendDistLaneCentre*.

Details:

Tested by:

**Requirement**

**RS\_ARI\_50**

If the zone represents only a single lane, the component `IVI.IviStructure.optional.glc.parts.zone.segment.laneWidth` shall provide the zone width with an accuracy of *pLaneWidthAccuracy*.

Note: This requirement is for backwards compatibility with implementations of individual zones per lane. It is expected that RS\_ARI\_98 is fulfilled and the lane width is provided in the RCC, following RS\_ARI\_96.

Details:

Tested by:

## 7.5 MAP Location Container

### Requirement

**RS\_ARI\_37**

The MAP Location Container shall not be used for highway use cases.

Note: This container may be used at intersections where a MAPEM is transmitted anyway, for such use cases this needs to be profiled explicitly.

Details:

Tested by:

---

## 7.6 General IVI Container Part

### Requirement

**RS\_ARI\_23**

The set of zones referenced within the data element *detectionZonesIds* shall be defined in such a way that there is always a concatenation of zones that leads up to a corresponding set of relevance zones. In detail, this means that the first point of each individual zone in the set of zones shall geographically coincide with either:

- a) any point in a corresponding set of physically consecutive relevance zones with a maximum offset of *pNodeOffset* OR with
- b) any point in a set of physically consecutive detection zones which leads up to a corresponding set of physically consecutive relevance zones with a maximum offset of *pNodeOffset*.

Note: The basic case being that the first point of a set of physically consecutive detection zones coincides with the first point of the corresponding set of relevance zones (i.e. the physically consecutive detection zones lead up to the start of the relevance zone)

Note: In some cases, entry ramps on highways may merge into the highway in the middle of a relevance or detection zone, in these cases, the corresponding detection zone on the ramp may lead up to a suitable entry point of the relevance or detection zone.

Note: For a better understanding see the graphics below.




Figure 18: Example, detectionZones leading up to the beginning of the relevanceZone or to another suitable entry point to the relevanceZone




Figure 19: Example, detectionZone on the first ramp leading up to a suitable entry point to another detectionZone

Details:

Tested by:



**Requirement****RS\_ARI\_51**

Each set of physically consecutive zones referenced by the data element `detectionZonelds` in a `GicPart` shall have an accumulated length of at least *pMinDetectionZoneLength*.

Details:

Tested by:

**Requirement****RS\_ARI\_79**

Each set of physically consecutive zones referenced by the data element `detectionZonelds` in a `GicPart` shall have an accumulated length of at most *pMaxDetectionZoneLength*.

Details:

Tested by:

**Requirement****RS\_ARI\_80**

The set of zones referenced by the data element `detectionZonelds` in `GicPart` shall be completely contained in the destination area defined in the `GeoNet` header.

Details:

Tested by:

**Requirement****RS\_ARI\_26**

An instance of `driverAwarenessZonelds` shall be present in all `GicParts` which refer to a physical sign that is located before the start of the relevance zone.

Details:

Tested by:

**Requirement****RS\_ARI\_27**

The driver awareness zone in a `GicPart` (i.e. the combination of all zones referred to in the instance of *driverAwarenessZonelds*) shall represent the complete area between the location of the physical sign and the start of the relevance zone, if the sign's applicability doesn't start at the position of the sign but in a certain distance.




Figure 20: Example: overtaking ban applicable in a distance - e.g., due to a situation on the road

Details:

Tested by:

**Requirement**

**RS\_ARI\_24**

If defined, a driver awareness zone shall be part of the detection zone (i.e., geographically contained within the detection zone).

Details:

Tested by:

**Requirement**

**RS\_ARI\_35**

In every instance of GicPart the data element *relevanceZoneIds* shall be present.

Details:

Tested by:

**Requirement**

**RS\_ARI\_43**

For each set of physically consecutive zones (along the path of the road segment) referenced in *relevanceZoneIds* in an instance of GicPart, there shall be a corresponding set of physically consecutive zones referenced in *detectionZoneIds*, which fulfils requirement RS\_ARI\_23 .

Details:

Tested by:

---

**Requirement**

**RS\_ARI\_33**

The relevanceZone in a GicPart (i.e., the combination of all zones referred to in the instance of *relevanceZones*) shall represent the complete road segment where the traffic rules according to the sign described in GicPart are applicable.

Note: If the relevanceZone ends and no further signs are transmitted via IVIMs, this means, that from the last point of the relevanceZone downstream, the previous road sign transmitted via IVIM doesn't apply any more. Figure 21 shows an example of a correct implementation, Figure 22 shows a possible receiver interpretation in case of a faulty implementation in the same situation.




Figure 21: Example of a correct implementation of relevanceZone




Figure 22: Possible receiver interpretation in case of a faulty implementation

Details:


Tested by:

**Requirement**

**RS\_ARI\_28**

The longitudinal position w.r.t. the carriageway of the first node of the set of zones referenced by *relevanceZonelds* (or by *driverAwarenessZonelds*, if used), shall coincide with the longitudinal position of the physical sign (if applicable), with a maximum offset of *pLongitudinalOffsetSignPosition* if the traffic rule according to the sign is applicable starting from the position of the sign.

Note: In this case 'if applicable' means, if there is a physical sign present. In case of virtual signage without physical sign this requirement is not applicable.



Details:

Tested by:

**Requirement**

**RS\_ARI\_44**

The data element *direction* shall be present in every instance of GicPart in an IviStructure.

Details:

Tested by:

**Requirement**

**RS\_ARI\_86**

The component applicableLanes in a GicPart shall be present if the corresponding RsCode(s) apply only to a subset of all lanes represented by the set of relevance zones to which the GicPart applies.

If the component is absent, this means that the RsCode(s) apply at least to all lanes represented by the set of relevance zones.

Note: This corresponds to the C-Roads requirement on usage of the component ‘applicableLanes’.

Note: See also RS\_ARI\_60.

Details:

Tested by:

**Requirement**

**RS\_ARI\_68**

The component iviType shall be set in accordance with the service categories as defined in [ISO/TS 14823]. The following mapping shall be used:

<b>iviType</b>	<b>Service category</b>
0 (immediateDangerWarningMessages)	11 (Warning), 31 (ambient road condition), 32 (road condition)
1 (regulatoryMessages)	12 (regulatory)
2 (trafficRelatedInformationMessages)	13 (guide)
3 (pollutionMessages)	n/a
4 (noTrafficRelatedInformationMessages)	21 (public facilities)

Details:

Tested by:

**Requirement**

**RS\_ARI\_101**

If an operator intends to provide the physical lane status in an iviStructure, it shall be provided using the component IVIM.ivim.optional.rcc.RccPart.laneConfiguration.laneInformation.laneStatus. ‘Physical lane status’ refers to the physical availability for driving (i.e. ‘closed’, if the lane is physically blocked by an object).

Note: For the provision of the legal lane status, see requirement RS\_ARI\_102.

Note: For more explanation, see clause 6.2.6.

Details:

Tested by:

**7.7 Road Configuration Container Part**

**Requirement**

**RS\_ARI\_87**

On highways and motorways, the lanes present in the RCC shall be assigned one of the laneTypes ‘traffic (0)’, ‘acceleration (3)’, ‘deceleration (4)’ or ‘emergency (18)’ in accordance with the laneType definitions in [ISO/TS 19321].

Details:

Tested by:

**Requirement**

**RS\_ARI\_88**

In the RCC, the laneType shall be set to ‘traffic’ for all lanes available for regular driving.

Details:

Tested by:

**Requirement**

**RS\_ARI\_96**

The component IVI.IviStructure.optional.rcc.laneConfiguration.laneWidth shall be present if the information is known. If present, it shall provide the width of the lane with an accuracy of *pLaneWidthAccuracy*.

Note: Provision of an accurate lane width helps receiving vehicles to better match themselves to a specific lane. This is especially helpful for lane-specific signage.

Details:

Tested by:

**Requirement****RS\_ARI\_102**

The component IVIM.ivi.optional.giv.GicPart.laneStatus should be provided, only if the IVIM.ivi.optional.giv.GicPart.roadSignCodes does not explicitly define the legal lane status. 'Legal lane status' refers to changing lane status through traffic regulation.

Note: The preference is to use the IVIM.ivi.optional.giv.GicPart.roadSignCodes with a corresponding road sign that defines the status explicitly (e.g. 'lane closure' RsCode 13-659; 'Clear lane to left/right' RsCodes 13-661 and 13-662; 'lane free' RsCode 13-660; speed limit RsCode 12-557).

Note: Any lane in a zone for which the lane status is not explicitly indicated by either laneStatus or roadSignCode is assumed to be open for driving.

Note: For the provision of the physical lane status, see RS\_ARI\_101.

Note: For more explanation, see clause 6.2.6.

Details:

Tested by:

---

## 8 Annex

This annex contains a table for IVIM showing which data elements are mandatory according to the standard (CEN/ISO), this document and the C-Roads profile in Release 1.7.

Legend:

- The number of '+' in the column 'Layer' and the shading of the row represents the layer / level of the corresponding data element within the message.
- '-': This data element is not mentioned in the respective document.
- 'O': This data element is optional.
- 'M': This data element is mandatory.
- 'O/M': This data element is mandatory only under certain conditions which are defined in the respective document.
- 'C': This data element is an option within a 'Choice'.
- 'NU': (C-Roads specific) This data element is not used in C-Roads.
- 'F': The respective document forbids the usage of this data element.
- 'O/F': This data element is forbidden under certain conditions which are defined in the respective document.

- ‘O/F/M’: This data element is mandatory only under certain conditions and forbidden under other conditions which are defined in the respective document.
- (p): The corresponding requirement for this data element is for now only preliminary

**8.1 IVIM mandatory and optional data elements**

Layer	Data element / data field in and IviStructure	ISO 19321	C2C-CC (this document)	C-Roads (Release 1.7)	Combined
+	managementContainer	M	-	M	M
++	serviceProviderId	M	M	M	M
++	iviIdentificationNumber	M	M	M	M
++	timeStamp	O	M	M	M
++	validFrom	O	O/F/M	O	O/F/M
++	validTo	O	O/F	M	O/F
++	connectedIviStructures	O	O/M	NU	O/M
++	iviStatus	M	M	M	M
++	connectedDenms	O	-	-	O
+	iviContainers (sequence of IviContainer)	O	O/M	M	M
++	geographicLocationContainer	C	O/M	M	O/M
+++	referencePosition	M	M	M	M
++++	latitude	M	-	-	M
++++	longitude	M	-	-	M
++++	positionConfidenceEllipse	M	-	-	M
++++	altitude	M	-	-	M
+++	referencePositionTime	O	F	NU	F
+++	referencePositionHeading	O	F	NU	F
+++	referencePositionSpeed	O	F	NU	F



Layer	Data element / data field in and IviStructure	ISO 19321	C2C-CC (this document)	C-Roads (Release 1.7)	Combined
+++	parts (sequence of GicParts)	M	M	M	M
++++	zoneId	M	M	M	M
++++	laneNumber	O	-	O/M	O/M
++++	zoneExtension	O	-	NU	
++++	zoneHeading	O	-	M	M
++++	zone	O	M	M	M
+++++	segment	C	M(p)	M	M
++++++	line	M	M	M	M
++++++ +	deltaPosition	C	C	M	M
++++++ +	deltaPositionsWithAltitude	C	C	?	?
++++++ +	absolutePositions	C	F	F	F
++++++ +	absolutePositionsWithAltitude	C	F	F	F
++++++	laneWidth	O	O/M	O/M	O/M
+++++	area	C	-	F	F
++++++	...				
+++++	computedSegment	C	-	F	F
++++++	...				
++	generalIviContainer (sequence of GicParts)	C	O/M	C/M	O/M
+++	detectionZoneIds	O	M	M	M
+++	Its-Rrid	O	-	NU	

Layer	Data element / data field in and IviStructure	ISO 19321	C2C-CC (this document)	C-Roads (Release 1.7)	Combined
+++	relevanceZoneIds	O	M	M	M
+++	direction	O	M	M	M
+++	driverAwarenessZoneIds	O	O/M	NU	O/M
+++	minimumAwarenessTime	O	-	NU	
+++	applicableLanes	O	O/M(p)	O/M	O/M
+++	iviType	M	M	M	M
+++	iviPurpose	O	-	NU	
+++	laneStatus	O	-	O	O
+++	vehicleCharacteristics	O	-	O	O
++++	...				
+++	driverCharacteristics	O	-	NU	
+++	layoutId	O	-	NU	
+++	preStoredLayoutId	O	-	NU	
+++	roadSignCodes (sequence of RSCode)	M	M	M	M
++++	layoutComponentId	O	-	O	O
++++	code	M	-	M	M
+++++	viennaConvention	C	-	F	F
+++++	...				
+++++	iso14823	C	-	M	M
+++++	...				
+++++	itisCodes	C	-	F	F
+++++	...				

Layer	Data element / data field in and IviStructure	ISO 19321	C2C-CC (this document)	C-Roads (Release 1.7)	Combined
+++++	anyCatalogue	C	-	F	F
+++++	...				
+++	extraText (sequence of Text)	O	-	O	O
++++	layoutComponentId	O	-	M* (due to error in previous ISO version)	O*
++++	language	M	-	-	M
++++	textContent	M	-	-	M
++	roadConfigurationContainer (sequence of rccParts)	C	M	NU	M/NU
+++	relevanceZoneIds	M	M	M	M
+++	roadType	M	M	M	M
+++	laneConfiguration (sequence of laneInformation)	M	M	M	
++++	laneNumber	M	M	M	M
++++	direction	M	M	M	M
++++	validity	O	-	-	O
++++	laneType	M	M	M	M
++++	laneTypeQualifier	O	-	-	O
++++	laneStatus	M	M	M	M
++++	laneWidth	O	O	-	-
++++	...				
++	textContainer	C	F	NU	
+++	...				

Layer	Data element / data field in and IviStructure	ISO 19321	C2C-CC (this document)	C-Roads (Release 1.7)	Combine d
++	layoutContainer	C	F	NU	
+++	...				
++	automatedVehicleContainer	C	-	NU	
+++	...				
++	mapLocationContainer	C	F	NU	
+++	...				
++	roadSurfaceContainer	C	-	NU	
+++	...				